IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS SAN ANTONIO DIVISION

JAVIER ANDRADE,
Plaintiff,

§

V. § CIVIL ACTION NO. 5:19-cv-00078

COLT OILFIELD SERVICES, LLC, EDDIE AGUILAR and PETROSTAR SERVICES, LLC,

Defendants. § JURY DEMANDED

PLAINTIFF'S ORIGINAL COMPLAINT

This is an action arising under the Fair Labor Standards Act of 1938 (FLSA), 29 U.S.C. §201 *et seq.* ("FLSA"). This action is brought to recover overtime compensation, liquidated damages, costs, and attorney's fees owed to Plaintiff Javier Andrade ("Plaintiff"), by Defendants Colt Oilfield Services, LLC, Eddie Aguilar, and Petrostar Services, LLC.

Parties

- 1. Plaintiff Javier Andrade ("Andrade"), former employee of Defendants, was personally engaged in interstate commerce during his employment with the Defendants, and is represented by the undersigned.
- 2. Defendant Colt Oilfield Services, LLC ("Colt") is a Texas limited liability company and an "employer" as defined by the FLSA. With respect to Plaintiff, Colt is subject to the provisions of the FLSA. Colt was at all relevant times an enterprise engaged in commerce or in the production of goods for commerce, as defined by 29 U.S.C. §§ 203(r) and (s), and had gross annual revenues in excess of \$500,000.00. Colt may be served through its registered agent, Eddie Aguilar at 15406 Northwest Blvd., Robstown, Texas 78380, or wherever he may be found.

- 3. Defendant Eddie Aguilar ("Aguilar") is an individual who was also an "employer" of Andrade as that term is defined by the FLSA. With respect to Plaintiff, Aguilar is subject to the provisions of the FLSA, operating an enterprise engaged in interstate commerce with gross annual revenues in excess of \$500,000. Aguilar was a person who determined and directly controlled the employee compensation policies of Colt. Defendant Aguilar may be served with process at 15406 Northwest Blvd., Robstown, Texas 78380 or wherever he may be found.
- 4. Defendant Petrostar Services, LLC ("Petrostar") is a Delaware limited liability company and an "employer" as defined by the FLSA. With respect to Plaintiff, Petrostar is subject to the provisions of the FLSA. Petrostar was at all relevant times an enterprise engaged in commerce or in the production of goods for commerce, as defined by 29 U.S.C. §§ 203(r) and (s), and had gross annual revenues in excess of \$500,000.00. Petrostar may be served through its registered agent, C T Corporation System at 1999 Bryan St., Suite 900, Dallas, Texas 78380, or wherever it may be found.

Jurisdiction and Venue

5. This Court has jurisdiction under the FLSA, and venue is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b), as Defendants and Plaintiff transacted business within this judicial district, and the events underlying this complaint occurred within this judicial district as well.

Factual Allegations

6. During each of the three years prior to this complaint being filed, Defendant Colt was an enterprise engaged in interstate commerce, operating on interstate highways, purchasing materials through commerce, transporting materials through commerce and on the interstate highways, conducting transactions through commerce, including the use of credit cards, phones

and/or cell phones, electronic mail and the Internet.

- 7. During each of the three years prior to this complaint being filed, Colt regularly owned and operated a business engaged in commerce or in the production of goods for commerce as defined by §3(r) and 3(s) of the Act, 29 U.S.C. §2013(r) and 203(s).
- 8. At all times pertinent to this Complaint, Plaintiff was individually engaged in commerce and his work was essential to Colt's business.
- 9. During each of the three years prior to this complaint being filed, Colt conducted sufficient business to exceed an annual gross volume of sales of at least \$500,000 (exclusive of excise taxes) based upon the volume of business.
- 10. During each of the three years prior to this complaint being filed, Colt's employees used goods, tools, equipment or materials that traveled in interstate commerce; that is, goods, tools, equipment or materials that were made or manufactured outside the state of Texas.
- 11. Defendant Aguilar is an owner of Colt. During the last 3 years, Aguilar has been involved in decisions regarding hiring and firing of employees, and has had involvement and authority regarding the payment of employees and how they were compensated. Aguilar was involved in and had authority with regard to determining how Colt employees were paid and whether or not Colt employees were paid overtime.
- 12. Plaintiff Javier Andrade worked for Colt as a field service operator from October 4, 2016 until January 14, 2019. Andrade's duties included, but were not limited to, torque and pressure testing and greasing and maintaining equipment at oil and gas well sites. When Andrade worked in the field, he regularly worked in the neighborhood of 90 hours per week and sometimes more. Andrade was not paid overtime pay for hours he worked in excess of 40 in any workweek that he worked for Colt.

13. In September of 2018, upon information and belief, Colt sold its assets, including work-in-progress, equipment, client lists and good will (i.e., all assets of value) to Petrostar. Petrostar, an enterprise engaged in interstate commerce, continued and is continuing to run Colt's oilfield services business in the same manner, with the same employees, equipment, customers, and work, as Colt. Upon information and belief, and based on the fact that, as soon as Petrostar took over Colt's operations, Petrostar changed its operators' pay structure to hourly with overtime, Petrostar had notice of Colt's illegal payment scheme. Further, upon information and belief, Colt is no longer doing business after selling its assets and is not in a position to compensate Plaintiff for the damages sought herein.

Plaintiff's Allegations

- 14. At all times relevant hereto, Colt and Aguilar knew of, approved of, and benefited from Plaintiff's regular and overtime work.
 - 15. Plaintiff was not an "exempt" employee when he worked for Colt.
- 16. As a non-exempt employee, Plaintiff was entitled to be paid his regular wages and to be paid an overtime premium for all work performed during the hours worked over forty (40) hours in each workweek. Colt and Aguilar failed to pay the Plaintiff the required overtime premium in nearly every workweek that the Plaintiff was employed by these Defendants, as the Plaintiff worked in excess of 40 hours in almost every week he worked for them. Plaintiff was paid on a salary and bonus basis, and was not paid premium pay for hours worked over 40.
- 17. Colt and Aguilar did not make a good faith effort to comply with the overtime provisions contained within the FLSA.
- 18. Colt's and Aguilar's actions were willful and in blatant disregard for Plaintiff's federally protected rights.

4

- 19. Due to Aguilar's position with Colt and due to the authority he yielded at Colt in general and also with regard to determining how employees would be compensated, Aguilar was an "employer" of Plaintiff when Plaintiff worked for Colt.
 - 20. Petrostar is liable to the Plaintiff under the theory of successor liability.
- 21. Defendants are jointly and severally liable to the Plaintiff for the damages sought herein.

CAUSE OF ACTION

Violation of the FLSA – Failure to Pay Wages Owed

- 22. Colt and Aguilar violated the FLSA by failing to properly compensate Plaintiff for work performed in the employ of these Defendants.
- 23. Plaintiff has suffered damages as a direct result of Colt's and Aguilar's illegal actions.
- 24. Defendants are liable to Plaintiff for unpaid overtime compensation, liquidated damages, attorney's fees and costs of Court under the FLSA, for the three-year period preceding the filing of this lawsuit.

Demand for Jury

25. Plaintiff demands a trial by jury.

Prayer for Relief

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff demands:

- 1. Judgment against Defendants for an amount equal to Plaintiff's unpaid overtime wages at the applicable rate;
- 2. An equal amount to the overtime wage damages as liquidated damages;
- 3. Judgment against Defendants that their violations of the FLSA were willful;
- 4. To the extent that liquidated damages are not awarded, an award of prejudgment interest:
- 5. All costs and attorney's fees incurred prosecuting these claims;
- 6. Leave to amend to add claims under applicable state laws; and

7. For such further relief as the Court deems just and equitable.

Respectfully Submitted,

THE BUENKER LAW FIRM

/s/ Josef F. Buenker
Josef F. Buenker
TBA No. 03316860
jbuenker@buenkerlaw.com
2060 North Loop West, Suite 215
Houston, Texas 77018
713-868-3388 Telephone
713-683-9940 Facsimile

ATTORNEY-IN-CHARGE FOR PLAINTIFF JAVIER ANDRADE

OF COUNSEL:

Vijay Pattisapu
TBA No. 24083633
S.D. Tex. No. 1829615
vijay@buenkerlaw.com
THE BUENKER LAW FIRM
2060 North Loop West, Suite 215
Houston, Texas 77018
713-868-3388 Telephone
713-683-9940 Facsimile

ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF JAVIER ANDRADE